

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/697,341	10/30/2003		Joel Gilon	03-665 7503	
34704	7590	03/30/2004		EXAMINER	
		DINTE, P.C.	JOHNSON, STEPHEN		
900 CHAPEL STREET SUITE 1201				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW HAVE	N, CT 06	5510	3641		

DATE MAILED: 03/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/697,341	GILON, JOEL				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Stephen M. Johnson	3641				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONEI	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 (October 2003.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	s action is non-final.					
,—						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/a	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers		1				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the correct of the correct and the correct of the correct	cepted or b) objected to by the E drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ction is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in the control of	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/25/2004.	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/697,341 Page 2

Art Unit: 3641

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The written disclosure lacks section headings (i.e. "Background of Invention"; "Detailed Description"; "Brief Description of Drawings").

Appropriate correction is required.

2. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 lacks terminology to indicate where the body of the claim starts and the preamble of the claim ends (e.g. comprising, including, consisting of, etc.). Absence of such terminology not only makes the breadth of the claim unknown but also makes the claim indefinite as to whether the claim is intended to be open-ended or close-ended in nature.

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1-2 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Love.

Love discloses an arrangement comprising:

a) an array of blast-resistant partitions; 15, 19, 53

b)subdivided space; and see figs. 1, 2

c) a public transport vehicle. col. 1, lines 1-7

5. Claims 1-2 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Law.

Law discloses an arrangement comprising:

Application/Control Number: 10/697,341 Page 3

Art Unit: 3641

a) an array of blast-resistant partitions; 15a, 15b, col. 2, line 48

b)subdivided space; and see figs. 1, 2

c) a public transport vehicle. col. 1, lines 5-21

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Law in view of Madden Jr..

Law applies as previously recited. However, undisclosed is bullet proof cloth that is Kevlar. Madden Jr. teaches a bullet proof cloth that is Kevlar, col. 5, line 67. Applicant is substituting a particular type of bullet proof cloth for the generic bullet proof cloth of Law in an analogous art setting. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in this art at the time of the invention to apply the teachings of Madden Jr. to the Law apparatus and have an apparatus that included a particular type of bullet proof cloth.

8. Claims 1-2, 4, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fenton.

Fenton discloses an arrangement comprising:

a) an array of perforated blast-resistant partitions; 5, 10, 26, 28, 23, 25

b)subdivided space; and see figs. 1-3

c) a public transport vehicle. col. 1, lines 9-17

9. Claims 1, 3, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Spotzl et al..

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/697,341

Art Unit: 3641

Spotzl et al. disclose an arrangement comprising:

a) an array of at least 6 blast-resistant partitions; and 8, 27

b)subdivided space.

see figs. 1, 2

10. Claims 1-2 and 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Madden

Jr..

Madden Jr. discloses an arrangement comprising:

a) an array of blast-resistant partitions;

110, 180, 400, 410

b)subdivided space;

see figs. 10, 12

c) a public transport vehicle;

see fig. 15

d) Kevlar partition; and

col. 5, line 67

e) a polycarbonate partition.

col. 5, line 1

11. Claims 1-2, 5, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by McKenzie.

McKenzie discloses an arrangement comprising:

a) an array of blast-resistant partitions;

10, 10a

b)subdivided space;

see fig. 4

c) a public transport vehicle; and

col. 1, lines 6-23

d) a polycarbonate partition.

see claim 7

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Grunewald et al., Ohayon, Wilson, Rastetter, Kotai et al., Ward, McClay et al., Hartl et al., and Japan 3-16838 disclose other state of the art armor arrangements.

Application/Control Number: 10/697,341

Art Unit: 3641

13.

Page 5

examiner should be directed to Stephen M. Johnson whose telephone number is 703-306-4158.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday through Friday. If attempts to reach the

examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Carone can be

reached on 703-306-4198. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this

application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-

306-4177.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is

assigned is (703) 872-9326. The fax phone number for after final communications is (703) 872-

9327.

STEPHEN M. JOHNSO:

July by John

PRIMARY EXAMINES

Stephen M. Johnson Primary Examiner Art Unit 3641

SMJ